

# University of Waterloo

## CS240E, Winter 2024

### Written Assignment 1

Due Date: Tuesday, January 23, 2023 at 5pm

Be sure to read the assignment guidelines (<https://student.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~cs240e/w24/assignments.shtml#guidelines>). Submit your solutions electronically to MarkUs as **individual** PDF files named a1q1.pdf, a1q2.pdf, ... (one per question).

Ensure you have read, signed, and submitted the **Academic Integrity Declaration** AID01.TXT.

**Grace period:** submissions made before 11:59PM on Jan. 23, will be accepted without penalty. Please note that submissions made after 11:59PM **will not be graded** and may only be reviewed for feedback.

#### Question 1 [5 marks]

There are two different definitions of ‘little-omega’ in the literature (to distinguish them, we will call them  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  here). Fix two functions  $f(x), g(x)$ . We say that

- $f(x) \in \omega_0(g(x))$  if for all  $c > 0$  there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \geq c|g(x)|$  for all  $x \geq n_0$ , and
- $f(x) \in \omega_1(g(x))$  if  $g(x) \in o(f(x))$ .

Show that these two definitions are equivalent, i.e.,  $f(x) \in \omega_0(g(x))$  if and only if  $f(x) \in \omega_1(g(x))$ . Your proof must be from first principle, i.e., directly using the definitions (do not use the limit-rule). Note that  $f(x), g(x)$  are not necessarily positive.

#### Question 2 [3+3+3=9 marks]

Consider the following (rather strange) code-fragment:

---

**Algorithm 1:** mystery (int  $n$ )

---

**Input:**  $n \geq 2$

- 1  $L \leftarrow \lfloor \log(\log(n)) \rfloor$
  - 2 print all subsets of  $\{1, \dots, 2^L\}$
- 

For example, for  $n = 17$ , we have  $\log 17 \approx 4.08$  and  $\log(4.08) \approx 2.02$ , so  $\log \log(17) \approx 2.02$  and  $L = 2$  (and we print the 16 subsets of  $\{1, \dots, 4\}$ ). This question is really asking about the run-time of **mystery**, but to avoid having to deal with constants, define  $f(n)$  to be the number of subsets that we are printing when calling **mystery** with parameter  $n$ .

- (a) Show that  $f(n) \in O(n)$ .
- (b) Show that  $f(n) \in \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ .
- (c) Prof. Conn Fused thinks that  $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$  for some constant  $d$ . (By the previous two parts, necessarily  $\frac{1}{2} \leq d \leq 1$ .) Show that Prof. Fused is wrong, or in other words, for any  $\frac{1}{2} \leq d \leq 1$  we have  $f(n) \notin \Theta(n^d)$ .

**Question 3** [2+3+7+2(+1+1)=14(+2)]

We define the Fibonacci sequence  $\{t_n\}$  by

$$t_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ t_{n-1} + t_{n-2}, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $t_n \geq (\sqrt{2})^n$  for  $n \geq 8$ .
- (b) Find a constant  $k < 1$  such that  $t_n \leq 2^{kn}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Justify that the inequality holds for your choice of  $k$ .
- (c) One way to compute  $t_n$  uses matrix exponentiation. We can express the linear system

$$\begin{cases} t_1 = t_1 \\ t_2 = t_0 + t_1 \end{cases}$$

in matrix notation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_0 \\ t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In general,

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_n \\ t_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} t_0 \\ t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Give an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  to compute  $t_n$  that uses  $O(\log n)$   $2 \times 2$  **matrix multiplications**.

- (d) Argue that 4 additions and 8 multiplications (of integers) suffice to compute the product of two  $2 \times 2$  matrices with integer entries (hence the runtime of your algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  in (c) is  $O(\log n)$ ).
- (e) (Bonus) Another algorithm to compute  $t_n$  is  
Explain why the  $O(\log n)$  algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  is likely to be slower than the  $\Omega(n)$  algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  for small values of  $n$  when implemented on an actual machine.
- (f) (Bonus) Is there an easy way to improve algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$ ?

---

**Algorithm 2:**  $\mathcal{B}$  (int  $n$ )

---

**Input:**  $n \geq 0$   
1 **if**  $n = 0$  **then** return 0  
2 **if**  $n = 1$  **then** return 1  
3 create array of integers  $T[0..n]$   
4  $T[0] \leftarrow 0; T[1] \leftarrow 1$   
5 **for**  $i \leftarrow 2$  **to**  $n$  **do**  
6      $T[i] \leftarrow T[i - 1] + T[i - 2]$   
7 return  $T[n]$

---

**Question 4** [2+6+4=12 marks]

To reduce the height of the heap one could use a  $d$ -way heap. This is a tree where each node contains up to  $d$  children, all except the bottommost level are completely filled, and the bottommost level is filled from the left. It also satisfies that the key at a parent is no smaller than the keys at all its children.

- a) Explain how to store a  $d$ -way heap in an array  $A$  of size  $O(n)$  such that the root is at  $A[0]$ . Also state how you find parents and children of the node stored at  $A[i]$ . You need not justify your answer.
- b) What is the height of a  $d$ -ary heap on  $n$  nodes? Give a tight asymptotic bound that depends on  $d$  and  $n$ . You may assume that  $n$  and  $d$  are sufficiently big (e.g.  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 10$ ). Note that  $d$  is not necessarily a constant.
- c) Assume that  $n \geq 4$  is a perfect square. What is the height of a  $d$ -ary heap for  $d = \sqrt{n}$ ? Give an exact bound (i.e., not asymptotic).

**Question 5** [9 marks]

Consider a (max-oriented) meldable heap  $H$  that holds  $n$  integers. Describe an algorithm that is given  $H$  and an integer  $x$ , and that finds all items in  $H$  for which the priority is at least  $x$ . (Note that  $x$  may or may not be in  $H$ .) Your algorithm should have  $O(1 + s)$  worst-case run-time, where  $s$  is the number of items that were found.