We normally publish the post-mortem for an assignment after it has been marked and released. Here is a list of common errors provided by the graders for assignment 5.

Parts of Q02 and Q03 were chosen to be marked for different style criteria. Thus, it is possible that other questions might have style problems that we did not address. There were also design recipe elements that we chose to give feedback on and not deduct for. You should still improve on these, since we may decide to deduct for them on a later assignment. Please review the posted solutions and style guide to help resolve any questions you may have. If that is insufficient, please raise your questions in 1-1 consulting hours.

Question 2 (paint-by-numbers.rkt)

Examples, Whitespace and Layout

- Many students were missing a newline between certain design recipe elements. As a reminder, some but not all design recipe elements require newlines as a separator. Please see the style guide for more information on this.

- Many students were missing 2 newlines or a separator between function blocks. These newlines are important as they improve readability and formatting for your design-recipe code blocks.

Question 3 (pronunciation.rkt)

Purpose and Contract

- Many students did not adequately explain the meaning behind the function `num-syllables`. For instance, the behaviour of the function when the word is not found in the dictionary must be specified.

- Many students did not explicitly reference the function parameters by name in the purpose. As a reminder, this means that the parameters that appear in your function header should be referenced by exact name in the purpose. For example: "...takes in a string [str] and ..."

- Many students were missing purpose and contract for their helper functions. These are always required unless otherwise specified.

- Many students used incorrect type names in their contract. For example, dic/dict instead of Dictionary.