## CS135 Tutorial 03

## Review of list functions

| List Values | List Functions |
| :--- | :--- |
| - empty: an empty list | - (cons $v$ lst): Consumes a value and a list; |
| - (cons $\vee$ lst): where $v$ is | produces a new, longer list. |
| a value and lst is a list | - (first (cons a b)) => a |
| (which includes empty) | - (rest (cons a b)) => b |
|  | - (empty? empty) => true |
|  | - (empty? a) => false where $a$ is any value other |
|  | than empty |
|  | - (cons? (cons a b)) => true |
|  | - (cons? a) => false where a is any Racket value |
|  | not created using cons |
|  |  |

$a$ and $b$ are values. $a$ can be any value; $b$ is a list value.

## Creating List Values

- Write Racket code for this list:



## Contracts

What would valid contracts be for the following?

```
(define (foo a b c) (max a b (first c))
(define (bar a b)
    (cond [(string<? a b) (cons a (cons b empty))]
        [else (cons b (cons a empty))]))
(define (qux a)
    (cond [(empty? a) 0]
        [(empty? (rest a)) 1]
        [else 2]))
```


## List-of-three?

Write a function, list-of-three?, which consumes a value and produces true if it is a list with exactly three elements and false otherwise. Just for fun, do it without using length.

Recall the design recipe steps:

1. Draft a purpose statement
2. Construct examples
3. Write the function definition header and contract
4. Finalize the purpose with parameter names
5. Write the definition body
6. Write additional tests, if needed

## Three-of-a-kind?

Evaluate the following Racket code with a person near you. List as many improvements as you can.

```
;; three-of-a-kind produces true if the list has exactly three symbols
;; and they are all the same.
(check-expect (three-of-a-kind? (cons 'a (cons 'a (cons 'a empty)))) true)
;; (ListOf Symbol) -> Bool
(define (three-of-a-kind? x)
    (and (symbol=? (first x) (first (rest x)))
    (symbol=? (first x) (first (rest (rest x))))))
```

