

University of Waterloo
CS240 Fall 2025
Assignment 1 Post-Mortem

General

- Do note that your mark shown on Crowdmark will be out of 63 without bonus marks from A0 applied. When calculating final grades, A1 will be marked out of 60 with bonus marks from A0 applied.
- Students are encouraged to use \LaTeX when writing assignments for legibility and structure.

Question 1 [3+3+3+3+3=15 marks]

- This question was generally well done.
- Some students did not explicitly give c_1, c_2, n_0 for part (c). While you may use previous results, this is required for proofs from first principles (as n_0 may change with 2 separate inequalities to satisfy).
- Some students attempted to use limit rule for parts (d) and (e). For proofs from first principles, this is not an accepted method.

Question 2 [4+4=8 marks]

- This question was generally well done.
- Several students attempted to apply limit rule on part (b). Limit rule can only be applied if the limit exists for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$. Such a limit does not exist here.

Question 3 [6+6=12 marks]

- Many students attempted to apply limit rule for both parts of the question. Limit is not guaranteed to exist for this question.
- For part (a), some students attempted to apply limit rule on only odd n or even n . This is not a correct application of the limit rule.
- For part (a), some students did not properly negate the definitions of order notations. For example, $f(n) \notin o(g(n))$ should be $\exists c > 0, \forall n_0 \geq 0, \exists n \geq n_0, \text{ s.t. } f(n) > cg(n)$ by definition.

Question 4 [6 marks]

- This question was very well done.
- Some students missed a +1 on the exponent of r in the case $\Theta \neq 2$
- Some students incorrectly used the case for $r > 1$ to solve the geometric sequence in the case $\Theta \neq 2$
- Some students missed a +1 in the case $\Theta = 2$

Question 5 [2+2+4+4=12 marks]

- Parts (a), (b), and (c), were generally very well done.
- For part (d), some students forgot to include the case where calls to print was $n + 1$ in their analysis.
- For part (d), many students solved the summation incorrectly.

Question 6 [5 marks]

- This question was generally well done.
- Errors in this question were mostly individual. They mainly stemmed from lack of rigor in proofs.

Question 7 [5 marks]

- This question was very well done.
- Some students only provided an upper bound. The question asks for a Θ bound so both an upper and lower bound must be provided. Alternatively, you can maintain equality throughout your solution.
- Many students counted loop iterations 1 less than the correct value. No marks were deducted in these cases but it is important to be careful.