# University of Waterloo CS240 Winter 2025 Assignment 3 Post-Mortem

## Question 1 [1+3+4=8 marks]

- This question was well done.
- For part c, some students did not use the "same side rule" when performing rotation on deletion.

### Question 2 [5 marks]

- In order for a complete proof, one must show that the location of each insertion in T' is the same corresponding location in T. In addition, one must show that no rotations are caused by any insertion into T'.
- Some students did not consider the possibility of rotations during insertion in their proof.

#### Question 3 [4 marks]

- Many students split the tree into "balanced" levels and "unbalanced" levels. While it is possible to prove using this approach, one must be very careful in order to provide a rigorous proof. In particular, one must show that the "balanced" levels properly represent an AVL-tree. In addition, one must show that the "unbalanced" levels does not asymptotically change the height of the tree to be bigger than  $O(\log n)$ . Stating  $O(\log n) + O(1) = O(\log n)$  is not sufficient proof of this.
- Many students who attempted the question using the proof above failed to provide the sufficient arguments required.
- Many students attempted to perform algebra on asymptotic notation. Avoid doing so whenever possible.

### Question 4 [4+2+2=8 marks]

- This question was well done.
- For part a, some students were missing the sentinel-only level of the skip-list.
- For part b, some students provided an incorrect answer of  $(\frac{1}{3})^4$  as the probability for one tower having height strictly larger than 4 instead of  $(\frac{1}{3})^5$

• For part c, many students did not provide a correct answer.

# Question 5 [2+2+(3+3)=10 marks]

- This question was generally well done
- For part c, some students provided incorrect solutions involving algebra with asymptotic notation. Avoid algebra with asymptotic notation whenever possible.
- For part c, some students did not search on distinct keys.

# Question 6 [2+4=6 marks]

- This question was generally well done.
- For part b, some students applied ceiling function instead of floor function in their formula for m.