## CS240E Tutorial, Feb 28, 2025

- 1. Which asymptotic relationships hold between  $g(n) = n \log n$  and the recursively defined function f(n) with f(1) = 0 and  $f(n) = n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(i)$ ?
- 2. Let T be a binary heap of size  $n = 2^k$  for some integer k. Assume that T is stored as a tree. Explain how to build a binomial heap that stores the same items in  $O(\log n)$  time.
- 3. Consider the pseudocode on the right. What is the expected run-time?

<b>Algorithm 1:</b> $mystery(int n)$
1 if $n \leq 1$ then return
<b>2</b> if $random(2) = 0$ then $mystery(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$
$\mathbf{s}$ else $mystery(n-1)$

- 4. Let T be an AVL-tree. Assume that you have just now inserted a new key k into T, and let z be the node that stores k. Show that z has no grandchildren.
- 5. In the array below, *interpolation-search*(70) finds the item at the first probe that uses the formula. What is the smallest possible integer at XX? 0 1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 10 XX | 5764 70727781 9293 99 100
- 6. Show that for any deterministic meldable heap H with n nodes, the operation insert(k) has worstcase run-time  $O(\log n)$  if we use the child with the not-larger size for breaking ties during merge.
- 7. You are given *n* rectangles  $R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1}$  that lie on an  $n \times n$ -grid, i.e., the coordinates of all four corners of each rectangle are integers in  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

Describe an algorithm that sorts the rectangles by increasing area in O(n) time.

- 8. Why is a binary search tree (without any rebalancing) not oblivious?
- 9. We have seen *many* variants of binary search trees in class, each with some advantages and disadvantages with respect to run-time and/or number of rotations. Create one more variant that satisfies the following constraints:
  - Key-value pairs are stored in a binary search tree. Nodes may store additional information.
  - The space is O(n) at all times, where n is the number of currently stored key-value pairs.
  - search has  $O(\log n)$  worst-case run-time.
  - *insert* has  $O(\log n)$  worst-case and uses at most 1 (single or double) rotation.
  - delete has  $O(\log n)$  amortized time and uses no rotations.