# CS 341: Algorithms Lec 07: Directed Graphs

### Armin Jamshidpey Collin Roberts

Based on lecture notes by Éric Schost

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

Winter 2025

# Directed graphs basics

### **Definition:**

- G = (V, E) as in the undirected case, with the difference that edges are (directed) pairs (v, w)
  - edges also called arcs
  - ▶ v is the source node, w is the target
- a path is a sequence  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  of vertices, with  $(v_i, v_{i+1})$  in E for all i. k = 1 is OK.
- a cycle is a path  $v_1, \ldots, v_k, v_1, k \geq 2$
- a directed acyclic graph (DAG) is a directed graph with no cycle



# Directed graphs basics

### **Definition:**

- the in-degree of v is the number of edges of the form (u, v)
- $\bullet\,$  the out-degree of v is the number of edges of the form (v,w)

#### Data structures

- adjacency lists
- adjacency matrix (not symmetric anymore)

# BFS and DFS for directed graphs

The algorithms work without any change. We will focus on DFS. Still true:

- we obtain a partition of V into vertex-disjoint trees  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$
- when we start exploring a vertex v, any w with an unvisited path  $v \rightsquigarrow w$  becomes a descendant of v (white path lemma)
- properties of start and finish times

But there can exist edges connecting the trees  ${\cal T}_i$ 

# Classification of edges

Suppose we have a DFS forest. Edges of G are one of the following:

- tree edges
- back edges: from descendant to ancestor
- forward edges: from ancestor to descendant (but not tree edge)
- cross edges: all others



# Classification of edges

### If w was visited:

- $\bullet\,$  if w not finished, (v,w) back edge
- else if start[v] < start[w] < finish[w], (v, w) forward edge
- $\bullet \ {\rm else} \ {\rm start}[w] < {\rm finish}[w] < {\rm start}[v], \, (v,w) \ {\rm cross} \ {\rm edge} \\$

# Testing acyclicity

#### Claim

 ${\cal G}$  has a cycle if and only if there is a back edge in the DFS forest

### Proof

- Suppose there is a back edge (v, w). Then v is a descendant of w, so there is a path  $w \rightsquigarrow v$ , and a cycle  $w \rightsquigarrow v \rightarrow w$
- Suppose there is a cycle  $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k = v_1$ . Up to renumbering, assume we find  $v_1$  first in the DFS.

Starting from  $v_1$ , we will reach  $v_{k-1}$  (white path lemma). We check the edge  $(v_{k-1}, v_1)$ , and  $v_1$  is not finished. So back edge.

**Consequence:** acyclicity test in O(n + m)

# Topological ordering

**Definition:** Suppose G = (V, E) is a DAG. A **topological order** is an ordering < of V such that for any edge (v, w), we have v < w.



No such order if there are cycles.

# From a DFS forest



#### **Observation:**

- start times do not help
- finish times do, but we have to reverse their order

# From a DFS forest

#### Claim

Suppose that V is ordered using the reverse of the finishing order:  $v < w \iff \text{finish}[w] < \text{finish}[v]$ .

This is a topological order.

**Proof.** Have to prove: for any edge (v, w), finish[w] < finish[v].

- if we discover v before w, w will become a descendant of v (white path lemma), and we finish exploring it before we finish v.
- if we discover w before v, because there is no path w → v
  (G is a DAG), we will finish w before we start v.

**Consequence:** topological order in O(n + m).

## Strong connectivity

**Definition.** A directed graph G is strongly connected if for all v, w in G, there is a path  $v \rightsquigarrow w$  (and thus a path  $w \rightsquigarrow v$ ).

#### Observation

*G* is strongly connected iff there exists *s* such that for all *w*, there are paths  $s \rightsquigarrow w$  and  $w \rightsquigarrow s$ .

#### Proof.

- $\implies$  is obvious.
- For  $\Leftarrow$ , take vertices v, w. We have paths  $v \rightsquigarrow s$  and  $s \rightsquigarrow w$ , so  $v \rightsquigarrow w$ . Same thing with  $w \rightsquigarrow v$ .

# Testing strong connectivity

### Algorithm:

- call explore twice, starting from a same vertex s
- edges reversed the second time

### **Correctness:**

- first run tells whether for all v, there is a path  $s \rightsquigarrow v$
- second one tells whether for all v, there is a path  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in the reverse graph (which is a path  $v \rightsquigarrow s$  in G)

### **Consequence:** test in O(n+m)

# Structure of directed graphs

### **Definition:** a strongly connected component of G is

- $\bullet\,$  a subgraph of G
- which is strongly connected
- but not contained in a larger strongly connected subgraph of G.

#### Exercise

The vertices of strongly connected components form a partition of V.

#### Exercise

v and w are in the same strongly connected component if and only if there are paths  $v \rightsquigarrow w$  and  $w \rightsquigarrow v$ .

# Structure of directed graphs

A directed graph G can be seen as a DAG of disjoint strongly connected components.



# Structure of directed graphs

A directed graph G can be seen as a DAG of disjoint strongly connected components.



Kosaraju's algorithm for strongly connected components

**Definition:** for a directed graph G = (V, E), the **reverse** (or **transpose**) graph  $G^T = (V, E^T)$  is the graph with same vertices, and reversed edges.

#### SCC(G)

- 1. run a DFS on G and record finish times
- 2. run a DFS on  $G^T$ , with vertices ordered in **decreasing finish time**
- 3. return the trees in the DFS forest of  $G^T$

### **Complexity:** O(n + m) (don't forget the time to reverse G)

#### Exercise

check that the strongly connected components of G and  $G^T$  are the same

Want to prove: for any vertices v, w, the following are equivalent.

- (1) v and w are in the same strongly connected component of  ${\cal G}$
- (2) v and w are in the same tree in the DFS forest of  $G^T$  (with vertices ordered in decreasing finish time)

Want to prove: for any vertices v, w, the following are equivalent.

- (1) v and w are in the same strongly connected component of G
- (2) v and w are in the same tree in the DFS forest of  $G^T$  (with vertices ordered in decreasing finish time)

**Proof of**  $1 \implies 2$  (order of the vertices does not matter here) Let *C* be the strongly connected component of *G* that contains v and w

Want to prove: for any vertices v, w, the following are equivalent.

- (1) v and w are in the same strongly connected component of G
- (2) v and w are in the same tree in the DFS forest of  $G^T$  (with vertices ordered in decreasing finish time)

**Proof of**  $1 \implies 2$  (order of the vertices does not matter here) Let *C* be the strongly connected component of *G* that contains v and w

Let s be the first vertex of C that we visit in the DFS of  $G^T$ 

- there is a path  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in  $G^T$
- all vertices on this path are in C (easy)
- so they are all unvisited when we arrive at s
- so v becomes a descendant of s white path lemma
- $\bullet\,$  same for w

### **Proof of** $2 \implies 1$ .

Let T be the tree in the DFS forest of  $G^T$  that contains v and w, with root s

We prove that for every vertex t in T, s and t are in the same strongly connected component of G.

### **Proof of** $2 \implies 1$ .

Let T be the tree in the DFS forest of  $G^T$  that contains v and w, with root s

We prove that for every vertex t in T, s and t are in the same strongly connected component of G.

(1) for all t in T, there is a path  $s \rightsquigarrow t$  in  $G^T$ , so there is a path  $t \rightsquigarrow s$  in G

### **Proof of** $2 \implies 1$ .

Let T be the tree in the DFS forest of  $G^T$  that contains v and w, with root s

We prove that for every vertex t in T, s and t are in the same strongly connected component of G.

- (1) for all t in T, there is a path  $s \rightsquigarrow t$  in  $G^T$ , so there is a path  $t \rightsquigarrow s$  in G
- (2) now we prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G (this gives a path  $s \rightsquigarrow t$  in G)

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

- $\mathsf{start}[s] \leq \mathsf{start}[t] < \mathsf{finish}[t] \leq \mathsf{finish}[s]$  induction assumption
- by definition of s, finish[u] < finish[s]

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

- $start[s] \le start[t] < finish[t] \le finish[s]$  induction assumption
- by definition of s, finish[u] < finish[s], so our options are
  - (1) start[s] < start[u] < finish[u] < finish[s] (2) start[u] < finish[u] < start[a] < finish[a]
  - (2) start[u] < finish[u] < start[s] < finish[s]

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

- $start[s] \le start[t] < finish[t] \le finish[s]$  induction assumption
- by definition of s, finish[u] < finish[s], so our options are (1) start[s] < start[u] < finish[u] < finish[s] [()] (2) start[u] < finish[u] < start[s] < finish[s] () []
- if (2), with our induction assumption, we get start[u] < start[t]
- since (t, u) is in T, (u, t) is in G. With previous item, we get: t is a descendant of u in the DFS of G (white path)

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

- $start[s] \le start[t] < finish[t] \le finish[s]$  induction assumption
- by definition of s, finish[u] < finish[s], so our options are (1) start[s] < start[u] < finish[u] < finish[s] [()] (2) start[u] < finish[u] < start[s] < finish[s] () []
- if (2), with our induction assumption, we get start[u] < start[t]
- since (t, u) is in T, (u, t) is in G. With previous item, we get: t is a descendant of u in the DFS of G (white path)
- this gives start[u] < start[t] < finish[t] < finish[u]

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

- $\mathsf{start}[s] \le \mathsf{start}[t] < \mathsf{finish}[t] \le \mathsf{finish}[s]$  induction assumption
- by definition of s, finish[u] < finish[s], so our options are (1) start[s] < start[u] < finish[u] < finish[s] [()] (2) start[u] < finish[u] < start[s] < finish[s] () []
- if (2), with our induction assumption, we get start[u] < start[t]
- since (t, u) is in T, (u, t) is in G. With previous item, we get: t is a descendant of u in the DFS of G (white path)
- this gives  $\mathsf{start}[u] < \mathsf{start}[t] < \mathsf{finish}[t] < \mathsf{finish}[u]$
- but also finish[u] < start[s] < start[t] from (2) and induction assumption

Want to prove: for all t in T, t is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G.

By induction: suppose it is true for some t in T, and prove it is true for its children. So let u be a child of t in T.

- $start[s] \le start[t] < finish[t] \le finish[s]$  induction assumption
- by definition of s, finish[u] < finish[s], so our options are (1) start[s] < start[u] < finish[u] < finish[s] [()] (2) start[u] < finish[u] < start[s] < finish[s] () []
- if (2), with our induction assumption, we get start[u] < start[t]
- since (t, u) is in T, (u, t) is in G. With previous item, we get: t is a descendant of u in the DFS of G (white path)
- this gives  $\mathsf{start}[u] < \mathsf{start}[t] < \mathsf{finish}[t] < \mathsf{finish}[u]$
- but also finish[u] < start[s] < start[t] from (2) and induction assumption
- so (2) impossible, and we must have (1)
- $\bullet$  shows that u is a descendant of s in the DFS forest of G

Lec 07: Directed Graphs