# CS 341: Algorithms

### Lecture 10: Graphs, breadth first search

# Éric Schost

based on lecture notes by many other CS341 instructors

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

Fall 2024

# Definitions

# **Undirected graphs**

**Definition, notation:** a graph G is pair (V, E):

- V is a finite set, whose elements are called vertices (we often take  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ )
- *E* is a finite set, whose elements are **sets of two (distinct) vertices**, and are called **edges**.

Convention:  $\boldsymbol{n}$  is the number of vertices,  $\boldsymbol{m}$  is the number of edges.

# **Undirected graphs**

**Definition, notation:** a graph G is pair (V, E):

- V is a finite set, whose elements are called vertices (we often take  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ )
- *E* is a finite set, whose elements are **sets of two (distinct) vertices**, and are called **edges**.

Convention:  $\boldsymbol{n}$  is the number of vertices,  $\boldsymbol{m}$  is the number of edges.

### Data structures:

- adjacency lists: an array A[1..n] s.t. A[v] is the linked list of all edges connected to v.
   2m list cells, total size Θ(n + m), but testing if an edge exists is not O(1)
- adjacency matrix: a (0,1) matrix M of size n × n, with M[v, w] = 1 iff {v, w} is an edge.
   size Θ(n<sup>2</sup>), but testing if an edge exists is O(1)

# Connected graphs, path, cycles, trees

### **Definition:**

- walk: a sequence  $v_0, \ldots, v_k$  of vertices, with  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  in E for  $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$ . length = number of steps = k (k = 0 is OK)
- path: a walk with distinct vertices
- connected graph: G = (V, E) such that for all v, w in V, there is a path/walk  $v \rightsquigarrow w$
- cycle: a walk  $v_0, \ldots, v_k, v_0$  with  $k \ge 2$  and  $v_i$ 's distinct  $(k = 1 \text{ would be } v_0, v_1, v_0, \text{ this is not a cycle})$
- tree: a connected graph with no cycle (equiv: a connected graph with m = n - 1) (equiv: a graph with m = n - 1 and no cycle)
- rooted tree: a tree with a special vertex called root

# **Breadth-first search**

# Breadth-first exploration of a graph

BFS(G,s)
G: a graph with $n$ vertices, given by adjacency lists
s: a vertex from $G$
1. let $Q$ be an empty queue
2. let visited be an array of size $n$ , with all entries set to false
3. $enqueue(s, Q)$
4. visited[s] $\leftarrow$ true
5. while $Q$ not empty do
6. $v \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q)$
7. for all $w$ neighbours of $v$ do
8. <b>if</b> visited $[w]$ is <b>false</b>
9. $enqueue(w, Q)$
10. $visited[w] \leftarrow true$

### Runtime

### Anaysis:

- each vertex is enqueued at most once
- so each vertex is dequeued at most once
- so each adjacency list is read at most once

For all v, write  $d_v$  = number of neighbours of v = length of A[v] = degree of v. Then total cost at step 7 is

$$O\left(\sum_{v} d_{v}\right) = O(m)$$

cf. the adjacency array A has 2m cells Total: O(n + m) O(n) for steps 5-6

#### Claim

For all vertices v, if visited [v] is true at the end, there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in G

**Proof.** Let  $s = v_0, \ldots, v_K$  be the vertices for which visited is set to true, in this order. We prove: for all *i*, there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v_i$  by induction.

- OK for i = 0
- suppose true for  $v_0, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ .

when visited  $[v_i]$  is set to true, we are examining the neighbours of a certain  $v_j$ , j < i. by assumption, there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v_j$ because  $\{v_j, v_i\}$  is in E, there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v_i$ 

#### Claim

For all vertices v, if there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in G, visited [v] is true at the end

**Proof.** Let  $v_0 = s, \ldots, v_k = v$  be a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$ . We prove visited $[v_i]$  is true for all i by induction.

- visited[v<sub>0</sub>] is true
- if visited[v<sub>i</sub>] is true, we will examine all neighbours v of v<sub>i</sub>
   so at the end of Step 7, all visited[v] will be true, for v neighbour of v<sub>i</sub>
   in particular, visited[v<sub>i+1</sub>] will be true

#### Summary

For all vertices v, there is a walk  $s \sim v$  in G if and only if visited[v] is true at the end

### Applications

- testing if there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$
- testing if G is connected
- a spanning tree, if G is connected

in O(n+m).

(tree that covers all vertices)

#### Summary

For all vertices v, there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in G if and only if visited[v] is true at the end

### Applications

- testing if there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$
- testing if G is connected
- a spanning tree, if G is connected

in O(n+m).

### (tree that covers all vertices)

#### Exercise

For a connected graph,  $n-1 \le m$ , so O(n+m) = O(m).

### Keeping track of parents and levels

```
BFS(G,s)
       let Q be an empty queue
1.
2.
       let parent be an array of size n, with all entries set to NIL
3.
       let level be an array of size n, with all entries set to \infty
4.
    enqueue(s, Q)
5.
   parent[s] \leftarrow s
    \mathsf{level}[s] \leftarrow 0
6.
7.
       while Q not empty do
8.
            v \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q)
9.
             for all w neighbours of v do
                  if parent[w] is NIL
10.
11.
                        enqueue(w, Q)
                        parent[w] \leftarrow v
12.
                        \mathsf{level}[w] \leftarrow \mathsf{level}[v] + 1
13.
```

### **BFS** tree

**Definition:** the **BFS tree** T is the subgraph made of:

- all w such that  $parent[w] \neq NIL$ .
- all edges  $\{w, parent[w]\}$ , for w as above (except w = s)

#### Claim

The BFS tree T is a tree

**Proof:** T connected,  $n_s$  vertices,  $n_s - 1$  edges  $(n_s \text{ is the number of vertices reachable from } s)$ 

**Remark:** we make it a **rooted** tree by choosing s as root

### Shortest paths from the BFS tree

#### Claim

If there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in G then  $\mathsf{level}[v] = \mathsf{dist}(s, v)$ 

### **Observation 1:**

- dist(s, v) = length of the shortest path  $s \rightsquigarrow v$
- so  $\operatorname{dist}(s, v) \leq \operatorname{\mathsf{level}}[v]$
- want:  $|evel[v] \le dist(s, v)$

**Observation 2:** the levels in the queue are always of the form

$$[\ell,\ldots,\ell]$$
 or  $[\ell,\ldots,\ell,\ell+1,\ldots,\ell+1]$ 

so if we dequeue v before w,  $\mathsf{level}[v] \leq \mathsf{level}[w]$ 

# Shortest paths from the BFS tree

#### Claim

If there is a walk  $s \rightsquigarrow v$  in G then  $\mathsf{level}[v] = \mathsf{dist}(s, v)$ 

### Proof

- take a shortest path  $s = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_k = v$   $k = \operatorname{dist}(s, v)$
- prove  $|evel[v_i] \leq i$  for all i by induction
- OK for i = 0

### **Induction step:** suppose OK for i - 1

- the parent of  $v_i$  is either  $v_{i-1}$ , or a vertex we processed before  $v_{i-1}$
- so in any case,  $\mathsf{level}[\mathsf{parent}(v_i)] \le \mathsf{level}(v_{i-1})$  previous slide
- lhs is  $\mathsf{level}(v_i) 1$ , rhs is at most i 1 (induction assumption)
- done

i = k gives |evel[v]| < dist(s, v)

# **Bipartite graphs**

### Definition

• a graph G = (V, E) is **bipartite** if there is a partition  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  such that all edges have **one end in**  $V_1$  and **one end in**  $V_2$ .



# Using BFS to test bipartite-ness

### Claim.

Suppose  ${\cal G}$  connected, run BFS from any s, and set

- $V_1$  = vertices with odd level
- $V_2$  = vertices with even level.

Then G is bipartite if and only all edges have one end in  $V_1$  and one end in  $V_2$  (testable in O(n + m))

# Using BFS to test bipartite-ness

### Claim.

Suppose  ${\cal G}$  connected, run BFS from any s, and set

- $V_1$  = vertices with odd level
- $V_2$  = vertices with even level.

Then G is bipartite if and only all edges have one end in  $V_1$  and one end in  $V_2$  (testable in O(m))

# Using BFS to test bipartite-ness

### Claim.

Suppose  ${\cal G}$  connected, run BFS from any s, and set

- $V_1$  = vertices with odd level
- $V_2$  = vertices with even level.

Then G is bipartite if and only all edges have one end in  $V_1$  and one end in  $V_2$  (testable in O(m))

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$  obvious.

For  $\implies$ , let  $W_1, W_2$  be a bipartition. Because paths alternate between  $W_1, W_2$ :

- $V_1$  (= vertices with odd level) is included in  $W_1$  (say)
- $V_2$  (= vertices with even level) is included in  $W_2$

So  $V_1 = W_1$  and  $V_2 = W_2$ .

# Subgraphs, connected components

**Definition:** 

- subgraph of G = (V, E): a graph G' = (V', E'), where
  - $\bullet \ V' \subset V$
  - $E' \subset E$ , with all edges E' joining vertices from V'
- connected component of G = (V, E)
  - a connected subgraph of G
  - that is not contained in a larger connected subgraph of  ${\cal G}$

Let  $G_i = (V_i, E_i), i = 1, ..., s$  be the connected components of G = (V, E).

- the  $V_i$ 's are a partition of V, with  $\sum_i n_i = n$
- the  $E_i$ 's are a partition of E, with  $\sum_i m_i = m$

 $n_i = |V_i|$ 

 $m_i = |E_i|$ 

# Computing the connected components

Idea: add an outer loop that runs BFS on successive vertices

Exercise	
Fill in the details.	

### **Complexity:**

- each pass of BFS  $O(n_i + m_i)$ , if  $G_i(V_i, E_i)$  is the *i*th connected component
- total O(n+m)