

# CS 430 - Lecture 08 - Teams II

Collin Roberts

October 3, 2023

# Outline

- 1 Synchronize and Stabilize Teams
- 2 Teams for Agile Processes
- 3 Open Source Programming Teams
- 4 People Capability Maturity Models
- 5 Choosing an Appropriate Team Organization

# Synchronize and Stabilize Teams

- 1 Recall that so far, the synchronize-and-stabilize model has only been used within Microsoft.
- 2 **Rule #1:** The developers must adhere strictly to the agreed upon time to check their code in for that day's synchronization.
- 3 **Rule #2:** If a developer's code prevents the product from being compiled for that day's synchronization, then the problem must be fixed immediately, so that the rest of the team can test and debug.

## Remark

The culture of the organization must fully support Rules #1 and #2 before this life-cycle model and team organization can have any success.

# Strengths

- ① Encourages individual programmers to be creative and innovative, a characteristic of a **democratic team**.
- ② The synchronization step ensures that all programmers work together for a common goal, a characteristic of a **chief programmer team**.

# Weaknesses

- ① There is no evidence yet that this model can work outside of Microsoft.  
**A Possible Explanation:** There is something unique about Microsoft's culture, which has yet to be replicated elsewhere.

# Advantages of pair programming

- 1 “Two heads are better than one.”
- 2 It should produce high quality code.
- 3 Fewer typos/small bugs.
- 4 Programmers do not test their own code.
- 5 All knowledge is not lost if one programmer leaves. The remaining programmer from the pair can train a new pair programmer.
- 6 Less experienced programmers can learn from more experienced programmers.
- 7 The technique promotes group ownership of the code, a key feature of **egoless programming**.

# Disadvantages of pair programming

- 1 Twice the person-hours: more expensive.
- 2 It can be slow; programmers can become distracted.
- 3 Subjective disagreements can waste time.
- 4 Each programmer must regard the other as an equal.
- 5 Feedback given by teammates may not always be constructive.
- 6 Extremely shy people might dislike this technique - they must speak up while (pair) programming and during (daily) meetings. Overbearing people might dominate.



# Remarks

- 1 More research is needed to determine whether the benefits outweigh the costs.

# Reasons why people would **not** want to participate in an open source project

- 1 unpaid
- 2 philosophical disagreements about direction.
- 3 Since the programmer does not own the code, he/she cannot monetize the work at all, even after the development is done.
- 4 You must give up control over the finished product (or even your own piece of it).
- 5 Intellectual property problems: You give away what you produce during such an effort.

# Reasons why people choose to participate in an open source project

- 1 You are empowered to fix problems.
- 2 It benefits everyone to have some successful open-source products available.
- 3 the sheer enjoyment of accomplishing a worthwhile task.  
“Making the world a better place.”
  - 1 Volunteers must continue to perceive that the project is worthwhile; they will drift away if the project begins to seem futile.

# Reasons why people choose to participate in an open source project

- ④ the learning experience
  - ① Employers frequently view experience gained working on a large, successful open source project as more desirable than additional academic qualifications.
  - ② Hence it is crucial that the project be perceived as possibly successful to retain its programmers.
- ⑤ An organization depends on an open source application, and hence is motivated to devote resources to supporting the open source team.

# Reasons why people choose to participate in an open source project

- **In summary**, an open source project must be viewed at all times as a “winner” to attract and retain volunteers to work on it.
- **Corollary:** The key individual behind the project must be a superb motivator.

# Morals

- 1 For success, top-calibre programmers are required. Such programmers can succeed, even in an environment as unstructured as an open-source one.
- 2 The way that a successful open-source project team is organized is essentially irrelevant to the success/failure of the project.

# People Capability Maturity Models

- 1 Recall that P-CMM was the capability maturity model for People. It describes best practices for managing and developing the workforce of an organization.
- 2 Similarly to SW-CMM, an organization progresses through five levels of maturity with the aim of continuously improving individual skills and engendering effective teams.
- 3 Also similarly to SW-CMM, P-CMM is a framework for improving an organization's processes for managing and developing its workforce, and no specific choice of team organization is put forward.

# Choosing an Appropriate Team Organization

Here is Figure 4.7 from the text:

<b>Team Organization</b>	<b>Strengths</b>	<b>Weaknesses</b>
Classical Chief Programmer Teams (§4.3)	-Major success of NYT project	-Impractical
Democratic Teams (§4.2)	-High quality code as a consequence of positive attitude towards finding faults -Particularly good with hard problems	-Experienced staff resent their code being appraised by beginners -Cannot be externally imposed
Modified Chief Programmer Teams (§4.3.1)	-Many successes	-No success comparable to the NYT project
Modern hierarchical programming teams (§4.4)	-Team manager / Team leader obviates need for chief programmer -Scales up -Supports decentralization when needed	-Problems can arise unless team manager / leader responsibilities are clearly delineated



# Choosing an Appropriate Team Organization

Here is Figure 4.7 from the text:

<b>Team Organization</b>	<b>Strengths</b>	<b>Weaknesses</b>
Synchronize and Stabilize Teams (§4.5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Encourages creativity</li> <li>-Ensures that a huge number of developers can work towards a common goal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-No evidence so far that this method can be used outside Microsoft</li> </ul>
Agile Process Teams (§4.6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Programmers do not test their own code</li> <li>-Knowledge is not lost if one programmer leaves</li> <li>-Less experienced programmers can learn from others</li> <li>-Group ownership of code</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Still too little evidence regarding efficacy</li> </ul>
Open Source Teams (§4.7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-A few projects are extremely successful</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Narrowly applicable</li> <li>-Must be led by a superb motivator</li> <li>-Required top-calibre participants</li> </ul>

# Choosing an Appropriate Team Organization

- 1 There is no one choice of team organization that is optimal in all situations. Different strengths / weaknesses will matter more at different times.
- 2 In practice most teams are organized according to some variant of the (modified) chief programmer model.