

# CS 430 - Lecture 20 - Portability

Collin Roberts

November 20, 2023

# Outline

- 1 Portability Concepts
- 2 Hardware Incompatibilities
- 3 Operating System Incompatibilities
- 4 Numerical System Incompatibilities
- 5 Compiler Incompatibilities
- 6 Is Portability Really Necessary?
- 7 Techniques for Achieving Portability
  - 1 Portable Operating System Software
  - 2 Portable Application Software
  - 3 Portable Data
  - 4 Object-Oriented Technologies (OOT)

# Portability Definition

## Definition 1

*A program,  $P_1$ , is **portable** if it is **significantly** cheaper to convert it to  $P_2$  (and run it on H/W  $H_2$ , with OS  $O_2$  & compiler  $C_2$ ) than to re-code  $P_2$  from scratch.*

# Portability Definition

## Remarks:

- 1 Portability does not mean porting the code only:
  - 1 We must port documentation & manuals too.
  - 2 If S/W is changed, then all docs must also change.

# Hardware Incompatibilities

## ① Character codes:

- ① American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII): 00000001
- ② Extended Binary Coded Decimal Interchange Code (EBCDIC): 10000001
- ③ S/W developed on a platform with one encoding must be modified to work on a platform with the other encoding.

# Operating System Incompatibilities

- 1 MAC OS versus Windows.
- 2 Similar problems on mainframe-scale systems.
- 3 JCL (**J**ob **C**ontrol **L**anguage, for specifying all the parameters needed to run mainframe batch jobs)
  - 1 Each OS's JCL is slightly different.

# Operating System Incompatibilities

- ④ Virtual Memory (i.e. augmenting physical memory by allocating some disk space as virtual memory)
  - ① If S/W is developed on an O/S that supports virtual memory, then there is no practical limit on the amount of memory available.
  - ② But if that same S/W is then ported to an O/S that does not support virtual memory, then there is a hard limit on the amount of memory available.

# Numerical System Incompatibilities

- ① **Word size:**
  - ① S/W developed on a 64-bit platform will not run on a 32-bit platform.



# Compiler Incompatibilities

- ① Different compiler versions can enforce different syntax rules.
  - ① Often newer compilers are more strict.

## Is Portability Really Necessary?

**Q:** Does it make sense to spend time/resources to develop portable S/W?

**A:** Yes:

- 1 If your firm's business is selling software, then portability = higher revenue.
- 2 Even if not, i.e. if your organization builds software to support another primary business (e.g. selling insurance at SLF), keep in mind that good software lives 10-20 years or more, while hardware changes every 4-5 years. So portability saves money here too.

# UNIX

- ① UNIX O/S was constructed for maximum portability:
  - ① platform-independent (portable):
    - ① 9000 LOC written in C
  - ② platform-dependent (must be re-written for each platform):
    - ① 1000 LOC written in Assembly
    - ② 1000 LOC of C - device drivers

# UNIX

## ② Lessons of UNIX

- ① We should emulate the techniques used to design/build UNIX as much as possible.
- ② When we have a choice of O/S, we should choose UNIX.

# Portable Application Software

- 1 Although we may not always have control over which programming language we must use, whenever possible we should choose a high-level language (higher-level = more insulated from the hardware level).

# Portable Data

Porting large amounts of data can be very problematic.

- 1 Flat files are the most portable data format. Problems:
  - 1 Misunderstandings about file formats.
  - 2 Self-documenting file formats (e.g. XML) solve problem 1, but make files get big.

# Object-Oriented Technologies (OOT)

- ① Major promise of OOT:
  - ① final S/W product is portable & reusable