

#### **Data-Intensive Distributed Computing**

#### CS 431/631 451/651 (Fall 2019)

Part 3: Analyzing Text (1/2) September 26, 2019

Ali Abedi

These slides are available at https://www.student.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~cs451



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 United States See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/us/ for details

#### Structure of the Course

"Core" framework features and algorithm design

#### Structure of the Course



"Core" framework features and algorithm design

#### Count.

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/guvnah/7861418602/

#### Count (Efficiently)

```
class Mapper {
 def map(key: Long, value: String) = {
  for (word <- tokenize(value)) {</pre>
   emit(word, 1)
  }
 }
class Reducer {
 def reduce(key: String, values: Iterable[Int]) = {
  for (value <- values) {</pre>
   sum += value
  }
  emit(key, sum)
 }
}
```

Pairs. Stripes. Seems pretty trivial...

More than a "toy problem"? Answer: language models

## Language Models

 $P(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_T)$  Assigning a probability to a sentence

Why?

- Machine translation
  - P(High winds tonight) > P(Large winds tonight)
- Spell Correction
  - P(Waterloo is a great city) > P(Waterloo is a grate city)
- Speech recognition
  - P (I saw a van) > P(eyes awe of an)

#### Language Models

 $P(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_T)$ 

$$= P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_1,w_2)\dots P(w_T|w_1,\dots,w_{T-1})$$
[chain rule]

P("Waterloo is a great city") = P(Waterloo) x P(is | Waterloo) x P(a | Waterloo is) x P(great | Waterloo is a) x P(city | Waterloo is a great)

#### Is this tractable?

#### Approximating Probabilities: N-Grams

Basic idea: limit history to fixed number of (N-1) words (Markov Assumption)  $P(w_k|w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) \approx P(w_k|w_{k-N+1}, \dots, w_{k-1})$ 

N=1: Unigram Language Model

$$P(w_k|w_1,\ldots,w_{k-1}) \approx P(w_k)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow P(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_T) \approx P(w_1)P(w_2)\ldots P(w_T)$$

#### Approximating Probabilities: N-Grams

Basic idea: limit history to fixed number of (N-1) words (Markov Assumption)  $P(w_k|w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) \approx P(w_k|w_{k-N+1}, \dots, w_{k-1})$ 

N=2: Bigram Language Model

$$P(w_k|w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) \approx P(w_k|w_{k-1})$$
$$\Rightarrow P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) \approx P(w_1| < S >) P(w_2|w_1) \dots P(w_T|w_{T-1})$$

#### Approximating Probabilities: N-Grams

Basic idea: limit history to fixed number of (N-1) words (Markov Assumption)  $P(w_k|w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) \approx P(w_k|w_{k-N+1}, \dots, w_{k-1})$ 

N=3: Trigram Language Model

$$P(w_k | w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) \approx P(w_k | w_{k-2}, w_{k-1})$$
  
$$\Rightarrow P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) \approx P(w_1 | < S > < S >) \dots P(w_T | w_{T-2} w_{T-1})$$

## Building N-Gram Language Models

Compute maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) for Individual *n*-gram probabilities

Unigram $P(w_i) = \frac{C(w_i)}{N}$ Fancy way of saying:<br/>count + divideBigram $P(w_i, w_j) = \frac{C(w_i, w_j)}{N}$  $P(w_j | w_i) = \frac{P(w_i, w_j)}{P(w_i)} = \frac{C(w_i, w_j)}{\sum_w C(w_i, w)} \Rightarrow \frac{C(w_i, w_j)}{C(w_i)}$ Minor detail here...

Generalizes to higher-order n-grams State of the art models use ~5-grams

We already know how to do this in MapReduce!

## The two commandments of estimating probability distributions...

Source: Wikipedia (Moses)

#### Probabilities must sum up to one

urce: http://www.flickr.com/photos/37680518@N03/7746322384/

## Thou shalt smooth

What? Why?

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/brettmorrison/3732910565/

## Example: Bigram Language Model

<s> I am Sam </s> <s> Sam I am </s> <s> I do not like green eggs and ham </s>

**Training Corpus** 

```
P(|| < s >) = 2/3 = 0.67
P(am | 1) = 2/3 = 0.67
P(</s> | Sam) = 1/2 = 0.50 P(Sam | am) = 1/2 = 0.50
```

...

P( Sam | <s> ) = 1/3 = 0.33 P( do | I ) = 1/3 = 0.33

**Bigram Probability Estimates** Note: We don't ever cross sentence boundaries

#### **Data Sparsity**

P(Sam | <s>) = 1/3 = 0.33 P(do | I) = 1/3 = 0.33 P(Sam | am) = 1/2 = 0.50

#### **Bigram Probability Estimates**

```
P(I like ham)
```

...

= P( | | <s> ) P( like | | ) P( ham | like ) P( </s> | ham )
= 0
Why is this bad?

Issue: Sparsity!

## Thou shalt smooth!

Zeros are bad for any statistical estimator Need better estimators because MLEs give us a lot of zeros A distribution without zeros is "smoother"

The Robin Hood Philosophy: Take from the rich (seen *n*-grams) and give to the poor (unseen *n*-grams) Need better estimators because MLEs give us a lot of zeros A distribution without zeros is "smoother"



Lots of techniques:

Laplace, Good-Turing, Katz backoff, Jelinek-Mercer Kneser-Ney represents best practice

#### Laplace Smoothing Learn fancy words for simple ideas!

Simplest and oldest smoothing technique Just add 1 to all *n*-gram counts including the unseen ones So, what do the revised estimates look like?

#### Laplace Smoothing

$$P_{MLE}(w_i) = \frac{C(w_i)}{N} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad P_{LAP}(w_i) = \frac{C(w_i) + 1}{N + V}$$
  
Bigrams  
$$P_{MLE}(w_i, w_j) = \frac{C(w_i, w_j)}{N} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad P_{LAP}(w_i, w_j) = \frac{C(w_i, w_j) + 1}{N + V^2}$$

#### What if we don't know V?

#### Jelinek-Mercer Smoothing: Interpolation

Mix higher-order with lower-order models to defeat sparsity Mix = Weighted Linear Combination

$$P(w_k | w_{k-2} w_{k-1}) = \lambda_1 P(w_k | w_{k-2} w_{k-1}) + \lambda_2 P(w_k | w_{k-1}) + \lambda_3 P(w_k)$$

$$0 <= \lambda_i <= 1 \qquad \qquad \sum_i \lambda_i = 1$$

#### **Kneser-Ney Smoothing**

Interpolate discounted model with a special "continuation" *n*-gram model Based on appearance of *n*-grams in different contexts Excellent performance, state of the art

$$P_{KN}(w_k|w_{k-1}) = \frac{C(w_{k-1}w_k) - D}{C(w_{k-1})} + \beta(w_k)P_{CONT}(w_k)$$
$$P_{CONT}(w_i) = \frac{N(\bullet w_i)}{\sum_{w'} N(\bullet w')}$$

 $N(\bullet w_i)$  = number of different contexts  $w_i$  has appeared in

#### **Kneser-Ney Smoothing: Intuition**

I can't see without my \_\_\_\_\_ "San Francisco" occurs a lot I can't see without my Francisco?

#### Stupid Backoff

Let's break all the rules:

$$S(w_{i}|w_{i-k+1}^{i-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(w_{i-k+1}^{i})}{f(w_{i-k+1}^{i-1})} & \text{if } f(w_{i-k+1}^{i}) > 0\\ \alpha S(w_{i}|w_{i-k+2}^{i-1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S(w_i) = \frac{f(w_i)}{N}$$

#### But throw *lots* of data at the problem!

Source: Brants et al. (EMNLP 2007)

## What the...

## Stupid Backoff Implementation: Pairs!

Straightforward approach: count each order separately

A B 🔶	remember this value
ABC	S(C AB) = f(ABC)/f(AB)
ABD	S(D AB) = f(ABD)/f(AB)
ABE	S(E AB) = f(ABE)/f(AB)

...

#### More clever approach: count all orders together

A B
A B C
A B C
A B C P
A B C Q
A B C Q
A B D
A B D X
A B D Y

...

#### Stupid Backoff: Additional Optimizations

Replace strings with integers Assign ids based on frequency (better compression using vbyte)

Partition by bigram for better load balancing Replicate all unigram counts

#### State of the art smoothing (less data) vs. Count and divide (more data)

OV. PDF

kipedia (Boxing)

So

## **Statistical Machine Translation**

Califor Harson Un 2 mile

בצר ב אין איוון כאוין כווויבר מצוע האויוש צוווש צווו ביו בעובים כאוים באוי

a statistis allower paration of the same of the statistic and the state of the state of the state of the state 

stratentis menus relation Line units bitter museure

13.194722 San 23.25 MEY-10

648

Landard Crack Convertigitive State

יייידאראי אידוריא אידי אידיארא אידיאון אידיאון בעיבוע אידיאון בעי צאוו בעי צאו אידיאי

PLOY & STAELT NAMETA KOO

Live Strapping the Hould with the stand dec. at

1.1.1

にしていいいい

Diff. E. Haller

- BINEL BRUILS

THIT TONKA TAX THE AM

TOPOLE ILINAD

A HOUL IS TON KA

A PARAMINA OF

1-3517

Source: Wikipedia (Rosetta Stone)

#### **Statistical Machine Translation**



 $\hat{e}_1^I = \underset{e_1^I}{\operatorname{arg}\max} \hat{e}^P(e_1^I \mid f_1^J)) = \underset{e_1^I}{\operatorname{arg}\max} \hat{e}^P(e_1^I)P(f_1^J \mid e_1^I))$ 

#### **Translation as a Tiling Problem**



$$\hat{e}_1^I = \arg\max_{e_1^I} \hat{e}P(e_1^I \mid f_1^J) \hat{e} = \arg\max_{e_1^I} \hat{e}P(e_1^I)P(f_1^J \mid e_1^I) \hat{e}$$

#### Results: Running Time

	target	webnews	web
# tokens	237M	31G	1.8T
vocab size	200k	5M	16M
# <i>n</i> -grams	257M	21G	300G
LM size (SB)	2G	89G	1.8T
time (SB)	20 min	8 hours	1 day
time (KN)	2.5 hours	2 days	—
# machines	100	400	1500

#### **Results: Translation Quality**



#### What's actually going on?

English

#### French channel

# $P(e|f) = \frac{P(e) \cdot P(f|e)}{P(f)}$ $\hat{e} = \arg\max_{e} P(e)P(f|e)$

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/johnmueller/3814846567/in/pool-56226199@N00/

97 A.

#### Text channel

Signal

#### It's hard to recognize speech It's hard to wreck a nice beach

 $P(e|f) = \frac{P(e) \cdot P(f|e)}{P(f)}$  $\hat{e} = \arg\max_{e} P(e)P(f|e)$ 

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/johnmueller/3814846567/in/pool-56226199@N00/

State of the second

#### recieve < channel

receive

#### autocorrect #fail

 $P(e|f) = \frac{P(e) \cdot P(f|e)}{P(f)}$  $\hat{e} = \arg\max_{e} P(e)P(f|e)$ 

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/johnmueller/3814846567/in/pool-56226199@N00/

97 m

#### Neural Networks

Have taken over...

## Search!

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/guvnah/7861418602/

#### The Central Problem in Search

Author

Searcher



Do these represent the same concepts?

#### **Abstract IR Architecture**



#### How do we represent text?

Remember: computers don't "understand" anything!

"Bag of words"

Treat all the words in a document as index terms Assign a "weight" to each term based on "importance" (or, in simplest case, presence/absence of word) Disregard order, structure, meaning, etc. of the words Simple, yet effective!

#### Assumptions

Term occurrence is independent Document relevance is independent "Words" are well-defined

#### What's a word?

تناطق باسم -وقال مارك ريجيف إن شارون قبل -الخارجية الإسرائيلية الدعوة وسيقوم للمرة الأولى بزيارة تونس، التي كانت لفترة طويلة المقر 1982.الرسمي لمنظمة التحرير الفلسطينية بعد خروجها من لبنان عام

Выступая в Мещанском суде Москвы экс-глава ЮКОСа заявил не совершал ничего противозаконного, в чем обвиняет его генпрокуратура России.

> भारत सरकार ने आर्थिक सर्वेक्षण में वित्तीय वर्ष 2005-06 में सात फ़ीसदी विकास दर हासिल करने का आकलन किया है और कर सुधार पर ज़ोर दिया है

日米連合で台頭中国に対処…アーミテージ前副長官提言

조재영 기자= 서울시는 25일 이명박 시장이 `행정중심복합도시" 건설안 에 대해 `군대라도 동원해 막고싶은 심정"이라고 말했다는 일부 언론의 보도를 부인했다.

## Sample Document

#### McDonald's slims down spuds

Fast-food chain to reduce certain types of fat in its french fries with new cooking oil.

NEW YORK (CNN/Money) - McDonald's Corp. is cutting the amount of "bad" fat in its french fries nearly in half, the fast-food chain said Tuesday as it moves to make all its fried menu items healthier.

But does that mean the popular shoestring fries won't taste the same? The company says no. "It's a win-win for our customers because they are getting the same great french-fry taste along with an even healthier nutrition profile," said Mike Roberts, president of McDonald's USA.

But others are not so sure. McDonald's will not specifically discuss the kind of oil it plans to use, but at least one nutrition expert says playing with the formula could mean a different taste.

Shares of Oak Brook, Ill.-based McDonald's (MCD: down \$0.54 to \$23.22, Research, Estimates) were lower Tuesday afternoon. It was unclear Tuesday whether competitors Burger King and Wendy's International (WEN: down \$0.80 to \$34.91, Research, Estimates) would follow suit. Neither company could immediately be reached for comment. "Bag of Words"

14 × McDonalds

 $12 \times fat$ 

 $11 \times fries$ 

8 × new

...

7 × french

6 × company, said, nutrition

5 × food, oil, percent, reduce, taste, Tuesday



#### Counting Words...



#### Count.

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/guvnah/7861418602/

Doc 1

Doc 3

Doc 4 one fish, two fish red fish, blue fish cat in the hat green eggs and ham



What goes in each cell? boolean count positions

#### **Abstract IR Architecture**



Doc 1 one fish, two fish

Doc 2 red fish, blue fish

Doc 3 cat in the hat Doc 4 green eggs and ham



Indexing: building this structure Retrieval: manipulating this structure

Where have we seen this before?

#### Doc 1 Doc 2 Doc 3 Doc 4 one fish, two fish red fish, blue fish cat in the hat green eggs and ham







#### **Indexing: Performance Analysis**

Fundamentally, a large sorting problem Terms usually fit in memory Postings usually don't

How is it done on a single machine? How can it be done with MapReduce?

First, let's characterize the problem size: Size of vocabulary Size of postings

#### Vocabulary Size: Heaps' Law

$$M = kT^b$$

*M* is vocabulary size *T* is collection size (number of documents) *k* and *b* are constants

Typically, k is between 30 and 100, b is between 0.4 and 0.6

Heaps' Law: linear in log-log space

Surprise: Vocabulary size grows unbounded!

#### Heaps' Law for RCV1



Reuters-RCV1 collection: 806,791 newswire documents (Aug 20, 1996-August 19, 1997)

#### Postings Size: Zipf's Law

$$f(k;s,N) = rac{1/k^s}{\sum_{n=1}^N (1/n^s)}$$

- N number of elements
- k rank
- s characteristic exponent

Zipf's Law: (also) linear in log-log space Specific case of Power Law distributions

In other words: A few elements occur very frequently Many elements occur very infrequently

#### Zipf's Law for RCV1



Reuters-RCV1 collection: 806,791 newswire documents (Aug 20, 1996-August 19, 1997)

#### Zipf's Law for Wikipedia



Rank versus frequency for the first 10m words in 30 Wikipedias (dumps from October 2015)



Figure from: Newman, M. E. J. (2005) "Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law." Contemporary Physics 46:323–351.

#### MapReduce: Index Construction

Map over all documents Emit *term* as key, (*docid*, *tf*) as value Emit other information as necessary (e.g., term position)

#### Sort/shuffle: group postings by term

Reduce

Gather and sort the postings (typically by *docid*) Write postings to disk

MapReduce does all the heavy lifting!

## Inverted Indexing with MapReduce



#### Shuffle and Sort: aggregate values by keys

Reduce





## Inverted Indexing: Pseudo-Code

```
class Mapper {
 def map(docid: Long, doc: String) = {
  val counts = new Map()
  for (term <- tokenize(doc)) {</pre>
   counts(term) += 1
  for ((term, tf) <- counts) {</pre>
   emit(term, (docid, tf))
class Reducer {
 def reduce(term: String, postings: Iterable[(docid, tf)]) = {
  val p = new List()
  for ((docid, tf) <- postings) {
                                        What's the problem?
   p.append((docid, tf))
  p.sort()
  emit(term, p)
 }
```

Stay tuned

