

CS 456/656 Computer Networks Lecture 10: Network Layer – Part 2

Mina Tahmasbi Arashloo and Bo Sun Fall 2024

A note on the slides

Adapted from the slides that accompany this book.

All material copyright 1996-2023 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach

8th edition Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Pearson, 2020

Thanks for filling out the survey!

- **If you have not, there is still time**
- We'll discuss the results and potential upcoming changes soon

Network layer: roadmap

- **E** Network layer overview
- Routing algorithms
	- Link state
	- Distance vector
- Network layer in the Internet

Distance vector routing algorithms

- **E** Suppose node node x has n neighbors, $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$
- **The least-cost path from node x to node y will pass one of** x **'s** neighbors.

Distance vector routing algorithms

- \blacksquare To find its least-cost path to y, x doesn't necessarily need to build the entire network graph.
- **. It only need to know**
	- $D_{\nu_i}(y)$: the distance from ν_i to y
	- c_{x,v_i} : the cost of the direct link from x to v_i

Distance vector algorithm

Based on *Bellman-Ford* (BF) equation (dynamic programming):

Let *D^x (y):* cost of least-cost path from *x* to *y*. Then: $D_{\chi}(y) = \min_{V} \{ C_{X,V} + D_{V}(y) \}$ \overline{a} Bellman-Ford equation *min* taken over all neighbors *v* of *x v*'s estimated least-cost-path cost to *y* direct cost of link from *x* to *v*

Bellman-Ford example

Suppose that *u*'s neighboring node*s, x,v,w,* know that for destination *c*:

Du (c) = min { *cu,v + D^v (c),* $C_{u,x} + D_{x}(c)$ $c_{u,w}$ + $D_w(c)$ } $=$ min $\{2 + 6,$ $1 + 4$ $5 + 4$ $\left(= 5$

node achieving minimum (node x) is next hop on estimated leastcost path to destination (node c)

Distance vector algorithm

key idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when *x* receives new DV estimate from any neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

 $D_x(y) \leftarrow min_v \{c_{x,v} + D_v(y)\}$ for each node $y \in N$

■ under minor, natural conditions, the estimate *D_x(y) converge to the* $\emph{actual least cost}$ d_x(y)

Distance vector algorithm:

each node:

recompute DV estimates using DV received from neighbor

if DV to any destination has changed, *notify* neighbors

iterative, asynchronous: each local iteration caused by:

- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

distributed, self-stopping: each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes

- **Example 1 neighbors then notify their** neighbors – *only if necessary*
- no notification received, no actions taken!

- We will walk through an example of distance vector routing
- For simplicity, we are not adding the end-host (orange) nodes to the example
- They do not participate in routing
- But, the routers will include the distance to them in their advertised distance vectors.

- All nodes have distance estimates to nearest neighbors (only)
- All nodes send their local distance vector to their neighbors

- **P** receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- **E** send their new local distance vector to neighbors

 $t=1$

- **<u>■ receive distance</u>** vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- \blacksquare send their new local distance vector to neighbors

- **<u>■ receive distance</u>** vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors

- **P** receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- **E** send their new local distance vector to neighbors

 $t=2$

- **<u>■ receive distance</u>** vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- \blacksquare send their new local distance vector to neighbors

- **<u>■ receive distance</u>** vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors

…. and so on

Let's next take a look at the iterative *computations* at nodes

…. and so on

Let's next take a look at the iterative *computations* at nodes

Let's look at the computation at node b at $t = 1$ Remember, b's neighbors have sent b their DV record version at $t = 0$

Now, let's look at the computation at node c at $t = 1$ Remember, c's neighbors have sent c their DV record version at $t = 0$

Distance vector example:

c receives DVs from b computes:

 $D_c(h) = min\{c_{bc,b} + D_b(h)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$ $D_c(g) = \infty$ $D_c(a) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(a)\} = 1 + 8 = 9$ $D_c(b) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(b)\} = 1 + 0 = 1$ $D_c(d) = min{c_{c,b}+D_b(d)} = 1 + \infty = \infty$ $D_c(e) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(e)\} = 1 + 1 = 2$ $D_c(f) = min{c_{c,b}+D_b(f)} = 1 + \infty = \infty$ $D_c(g) = min\{c_{c,b} + D_b(g)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$ $D_c(i) = min{c_{c,b}+D_b(i)} = 1 + \infty = \infty$

Now, let's look at the computation at node e at $t = 1$ Remember, e's neighbors have sent e their DV record version at $t = 0$

Distance vector: state information diffusion

Iterative communication, computation steps diffuses information through network:

t=0 c's state at t=0 is at c only

 $t=1$

c's state at t=0 has propagated to b, and may influence distance vector computations up to **1** hop away, i.e., at b

 $t=2$

c's state at t=0 may now influence distance vector computations up to **2** hops away, i.e., at b and now at a, e as well

t=3

c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to **3** hops away, i.e., at d, f, h

c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to $\frac{4}{1}$ hops away, i.e., at g, i

Distance vector is asynchronous

- The example we discussed was simplified...
- We assumed there is a synchronized clock between all routers
	- Syncing the message transfers and computation.
- In reality, the routers are not all synchronized with each other

Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates local DV
- **I** if DV changes, notify neighbors

t0 : *y* detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its neighbors.

"good news travels fast"

t1 : *z* receives update from *y*, updates its DV, computes new least cost to *x* , sends its neighbors its DV.

t2 : *y* receives *z*'s update, updates its DV. *y'*s least costs do *not* change, so *y* does *not* send a message to *z*.

Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- "bad news travels slow" count-to-infinity problem:

- *y* sees direct link to *x* has new cost 60, but z has said it has a path at cost of 5. So *y* computes "my new cost to x will be 6, via z); notifies *z* of new cost of 6 to *x.*
- *z* learns that path to *x* via *y* has new cost 6, so *z* computes "my new cost to *x* will be 7 via y), notifies *y* of new cost of 7 to *x.*
- *y* learns that path to *x* via *z* has new cost 7, so *y* computes "my new cost to *x* will be 8 via y), notifies *z* of new cost of 8 to *x.*
- *z* learns that path to *x* via *y* has new cost 8, so *z* computes "my new cost to *x* will be 9 via y), notifies *y* of new cost of 9 to *x.*

Distance vector : count-to-infinity problem

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- \blacksquare "bad news travels slow" count-to-infinity problem
- $\widehat{\mathsf{c}\mathsf{x}}$ 4 1 50 y 60

- \blacksquare In this specific example, the problem happens because:
	- \blacksquare originally z 's shortest path to x is through y .
	- **But, y doesn't know that! It only knows z has a** path of length 5 to x .

Distance vector : count-to-infinity problem

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- \blacksquare "bad news travels slow" count-to-infinity problem
- **This problem does not only happen between two** neighboring nodes
	- **E** See textbook for a solution for the two-node case
- It can happen with loops involving three or more nodes.
- *Distributed algorithms are tricky!*

What you need to know about distance vector routing algorithms

- How they work, i.e.,
	- How routers disseminate information
	- How each router builds its table of distance to different destinations
- E.g., given DV tables and messages from neighboring routers, you should be able to continue executing the algorithm and update DV tables for subsequent timesteps.
- The count-to-infinity problem
	- What it is
	- Why it happens
	- Be able to demonstrate it with an example.

Possible ways to practice more with DV

- **Continue the example in the slide for** $t = 2$ **.**
	- Be careful to keep track of which node has received which messages at which time and what is DV looks like.
- Variation: Add an end-host node to the topology and re-do the first two timesteps for a few routers.
- What does the forwarding table look like at each stage?

Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

Messages

- LS: Each router's "Advertisement", i.e., link state, will have to be propagated to all the other routers.
- DV: Several messages exchanged between neighbors until we converge to the least cost paths; convergence time varies

speed of convergence:

If you change the costs, how long until routes are stable again?

LS :

- Converges when
	- Messages about the change propagate
	- Dijkstra's algorithm for least-cost path computation has to run

DV:

- may have routing loops
- count-to-infinity problem

Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

robustness: what happens if router malfunctions, or is compromised? LS:

- router can advertise incorrect *link* cost
- each router computes only its *own* table based on the topology

DV:

- DV router can advertise incorrect *path* cost ("I have a *really* low-cost path to everywhere"): *black-holing*
- each router's DV is based on DV of other routers
	- No full picture of the network
	- Harder to detect such problems locally
	- Errors propagate (easier) through the network.

What you need to know about routing algorithms so far

- Link State (LS) algorithms and how they work
- Distance Vector (DV) algorithms and how they work
- How LS and DV are different from each other.