CS 466 Fall 2002
Naomi Nishimura

Project marking scheme

The list below indicates criteria for awarding marks in addition to the number of marks associated with each criterion. I have listed your mark and any general comments below each item. For more details, please see additional comments written on the project itself.

Name:

Title:

Content *[80 marks]*

Coverage of survey *[20 marks]* Is the topic well defined and substantial? Is the coverage of the topic thorough and coherent?

Depth of survey *[20 marks]* Is depth of understanding demonstrated?

Relevance to course material *[5 marks]* How are course topics integrated into the project?

Common structure *[10 marks]* Have efforts been made to present all results in a common framework?

Comparison and analysis *[15 marks]* Have the results been compared and analyzed?

Conclusions *[10 marks]* Is there a discussion of what has been done and what is necessary for the future?

Presentation *[20 marks]*

Organization *[6 marks]* Is there a logical order to the coverage of results? Is mathematical, historical, or other background covered before it is used?

Level of detail in presentation of results *[6 marks]* Are irrelevant details removed and key concepts highlighted? Does the general structure make it easy to pinpoint common points and differences?

Style *[5 marks]* See sheet on frequent problems

Precision *[3 marks]* Has the project been proofread carefully to remove small errors? (If English is not your first language, a moderate number of errors is acceptable (please see the sheet on frequent problems).