

ASSIGNMENT 1

(Due: Tuesday June 5, 2007, 2:30 PM)

Problem 1 (5 marks)

Consider the school book (classic) method of multiplying two numbers. Propose a natural measure of difficulty and give an adaptive analysis of the time taken by the multiplication algorithm above in terms of your proposed measure of difficulty.

Hint: Perform the multiplication in binary.

Problem 2 (4+6=10 marks)

Consider an airline company that keeps a database of co-pilots ranked by flight hours. Most of the time one of two operations are performed either (1) the highest ranked co-pilot gets promoted to captain and is removed from the database or (2) a new co-pilot gets hired and inserted in the database with full credit for past experience in other jobs. Now, at the end of every quarter the company has a policy of giving the most senior co-pilots a bonus.

We know that the database was implemented as a heap using an array.

- a. One way to determine which pilots will get a bonus is to choose a threshold value T , and give a bonus to the set S of pilots in the database with T or more flight-hours experience. Give an algorithm that outputs the set S of pilots in time $O(h)$ where $h = |S|$.
- b. Another way to select the top pilots is to give a bonus to the top k pilots only. Clearly we can produce the top k elements in the seniority list in $O(k \lg n)$ time using standard priority queue operations (deleteMax, insert). Describe a method that can determine the top k elements in $O(2^k + k^2)$ time. What is the largest value of k for which this solution beats the $O(k \lg n)$ algorithm?

Problem 3 (2+3=5 marks)

Combine what you learned in class about 2D convex hull and adaptive sorting.

- a. One can sort a set of values $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ using a convex hull algorithm for points in two dimensions. Using any convex function such as $f(x) = x^2$, the convex hull of the set of points $\{\forall x \in S, (x, f(x))\}$ indicates the order of the values of S .
Using this reduction, is there a straightforward adaptive sorting algorithm from one of the adaptive algorithms to compute the convex hull of points in two dimensions seen in class?
- b. One can compute the upper part of the convex hull of points in two dimensions using a combination of sorting and divide and conquer: sort the points by their x -coordinate, divide

into left and right part, compute the convex hull of each half, and merge the two in $O(\lg n)$ time (note that this algorithm is not adaptive in itself).

Can you deduce an adaptive convex hull algorithm from one of the adaptive sorting algorithms seen in class?

Problem 4 (2+2+2+2+2=10 marks)

We have seen in class various analyses of the intersection problem:

- *Gap Encoding* (\mathcal{G}) the difficulty measure introduced by Demaine, López-Ortiz and Munro, for which the algorithm “Adaptive” is optimal;
 - *Alternation* (δ), the difficulty measure introduced by Barbay and Kenyon, for which the algorithm “Sequential” is optimal;
 - *Redundancy* (ρ), the difficulty measure introduced by Barbay later on, for which the algorithm “Randomized” is optimal.
- a. Any adaptive algorithm asymptotically optimal for the redundancy analysis is also optimal for the alternation analysis.
 - b. Prove that the reverse is not true: an adaptive algorithm which is asymptotically optimal for the alternation analysis is not always optimal for the redundancy analysis.
 - c. Consider an arbitrary adaptive algorithm which is asymptotically optimal for the alternation analysis. Is it necessarily optimal for the gap encoding analysis?
 - d. Consider an arbitrary adaptive algorithm which is asymptotically optimal for the gap encoding analysis. Is it necessarily optimal for the alternation analysis?
 - e. Consider an arbitrary adaptive algorithm which is asymptotically optimal for the gap encoding analysis. Is it necessarily optimal for the redundancy analysis?

Hint: To prove that an algorithm optimal for one difficulty measure is not always optimal for another difficulty measure, show that one of the three intersection algorithm “Adaptive”, “Sequential” or “Randomized” is a counterexample.

Miscellany: There are many difficulty measures for adaptive sorting, and their relationships has been similarly studied.