

## 5

### *The Second-System Effect*

### *The Second-System Effect*

- Interactive Discipline for the Architect
- Self-Discipline – The Second-System Effect

### *Negotiation*

- Architects propose projects
- Implementers propose bids
- Architects negotiate function up and cost down
- Implementers are the implementation authority
- Architects
  - Only suggests implementation
  - Must accept viable alternatives
  - Should deal quietly; Forego credit

### *Self-discipline*

- First system requires humility from ignorance
- Second system is the most dangerous
  - Confidence from first success dominates
  - Designer attempts all former forgone features
- Third and subsequent gain wisdom from second

### *Contrast with Extreme Programming*

- All programmers participate in all technical roles
- Programmers work in pairs, i.e., one screen
- Function is developed incrementally
- Refactoring addresses architectural issues

### *A Development Episode in XP*

- From Chapter 2 of Kent Beck's *Extreme Programming Explained* (1<sup>st</sup> ed.)
- “Day-to-day programming proceeds from a task clearly connected to a feature the customer wants, to test, to implementation, to design, and through to integration. A little of each of the activities of software development are packed into each episode.”

### *The scenario*

- Larger team project, but focus on ...
- Two programmers, Kent (*I*) and Beck (*You*)
- One task, *Export Quarter-to-date Withholding*
- After the daily morning stand-up meeting (that all programmers attend), Kent asks Beck, “Can you help me on the *Export* task?”
- Beck says “Yes,”
  - All programmers help when asked

### *New test cases*

- Beck asks, “What are the test cases?”
- Kent answers, “The values in the export record should match the values in the bins.”
- Beck asks, “Which fields have to be populated?”
- Interrupting briefly, Eddie explains the five fields related to quarter-to-date

### *Existing test cases*

- Kent and Beck examine existing export test cases
- They
  - Find one that is almost what they need
  - Abstract a superclass
  - Refactor the dependent code
  - Run all existing test cases successfully

### *Further improvements*

- They
  - See other export test cases that could use the new superclass
  - Record “Refactor AbstractExportTest” on their to-do card

### *New test case for the new code*

- They
  - Use the new superclass
  - Create a new test case for the new code
- Kent suggests, “I thought of an implementation.”
- Beck answers, “Let's finish the test case.”
- Kent records three ideas on the to-do card
- They
  - Run the new test case; It fails

### *New code for the new test case*

- Kent writes the new code
- They
  - Notice a few more applicable test cases
  - Record them on the to-do card
  - Run the first test case; It passes
- Kent writes new code for each new test case
  - Each case successively passes

### *Refactoring new code*

- Beck observes opportunities to simplify
- Kent hands Beck the keyboard
- Beck
  - Refactors the new code
  - Reruns the existing test cases; They all pass
  - Continues to implement code for each new test case

### *Refactoring old test cases*

- The to-do card eventually only shows “Refactor AbstractExportTest”
- They
  - Restructure the existing test cases to use the AbstractExportTest class
  - Run the restructured test cases; All pass

### *Integration*

- They
  - Notice that the integration machine is free
  - Load the latest release from the repository
  - Load their changes
  - Run all test cases, old and new; One fails
- Beck remarks, “It's been a month since a test case failed at integration.”
- They isolate and correct the faulty code

### *Release*

- They
  - Rerun all test cases; All Pass
  - Release the new code and test cases into the central repository

### *Extreme programming summary*

- Pairs programming
- Test-driven development
  - Create test cases, which fail until code exists
  - Code until all test cases pass
  - Design until test cases cover all functionality
- Refactoring
  - Simplify old and new code and test cases
- Immediate integration

### *IEEE Std 1058 Project Management*

- IEEE Std 1058-1998 *IEEE Standard for Software Project Management Plans (SPMP)*
  - “may be applied to any type of project”
  - “not restricted by the size, complexity or criticality”
  - “identifies the elements that should be in all SPMP's”

### *IEEE Std 1058 (cont. 2 of 3)*

<i>Front matter</i>	Managerial process plans
	Start-up plan
Project summary	Estimation plan
Purpose, scope, objectives	Staffing plan
Assumptions and constraints	Resource acquisition plan
Project deliverables	Project staff training plan
Schedule and budget summary	Work plan
	Work activities
Project organization	Schedule allocation
External interfaces	Resource allocation
Internal structure	Budget allocation
Roles and responsibilities	

### *IEEE Std 1058 (cont. 3 of 3)*

Managerial process plans (cont.)	Technical process plans
Control plan	Process models
Requirements control plan	Methods, tools and techniques
Schedule control plan	Infrastructure plan
Budget control plan	Product acceptance plan
Quality control plan	
Reporting plan	Supporting process plans
Metrics collection plan	Configuration management plan
Risk management plan	Verification and validation plan
Closeout plan	Documentation plan
	Quality assurance plan
	Reviews and audits
	Problem resolution plan
	Subcontractor management plan
	Process improvement plan
<i>Section 8. Additional plans</i>	

## *Waterfall lifecycle stages*

Analysis

Specification

Design

Implementation

Integration

Testing

Release

Maintenance