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Meta-comments

• Opinions and ideas expressed herein are due to Prof. Dan Berry and his collaborators K. Daudjee, J. Dong, I. Fainchtein, M.A. Nelson, T. Nelson, & L. Ou
  – Some of them are very personal and even controversial!

• This talk was first written in 1991, prior to the community’s identification of the concepts of scenarios and use cases as being helpful in requirements engineering.
  • So you’ll see these ideas popping up
Meta-comments

• After this slide, “I” means “Prof. Dan Berry”
  – “I” [Godfrey] have performed some minor editing, mostly to shorten existing text. Some paraphrasing was done. Some text was emphasized. And many slides were left out from the original presentation.
  – Prof. Berry’s original slides can be found on the course web site.

• Although advice as to basic structure of your UMIs is given in these slides, you should carefully read through the example UM on the course web page
  – WD-pic User’s Manual by Lihua Ou
Introduction

<Prof. Dan Berry speaking>

• I believe that the most useful document to write during requirements engineering is the user’s manual (UM).

• When done right and at the right time, it can serve as a useful elicitation, analysis, and validation tool, and can even serve as a RS.
Introduction

• I am not discounting the other requirements documents, including the SRS.
  – They may be required by the customer.
  – They may give useful information that is not contained in the UM.

• However, I have found the production of the UM a good focal point in the requirements engineering process and a good way to get on to paper at least the kernel of all the information that goes in the other documents.
Why write an RS of a CBS before implementing it?
The problems of writing an RS

• Despite these clear benefits, many projects are unable to produce the RS, for a variety of reasons, some technical and some social.
Pluses: Writing a RS for a CBS before implementation starts

• is a good way to learn the CBS's requirements,
• helps reconcile differences among CBS's stakeholders,
• allows customer of CBS to validate the RS,
• makes what needs to be implemented clear, and
• allows generating test cases with inputs and expected outputs.
Negatives: Writing a RS for a CBS before implementation starts

• is difficult to do right, and
• “there's not enough time”,
• the requirements change, and
• the RS is never read anyway.
Writing UM may be a solution

• This talk offers writing a UM for a CBS before implementing it as a way achieving the writing of a RS of the CBS before implementing it.

• The method both
  – produces a document that delivers the five benefits of writing a RS before implementation, and
  – helps ameliorate or mitigate the four problems that discourage the production of a RS before implementation, particularly the last one.
Info in a UM

• An RS should
  – describe the CBS’s function and not the CBS’s implementation,
  – describe the CBS from the user’s point of view and not the implementer’s

• A good UM should
  – describe the CBS’s function and not the CBS’s implementation,
  – describe the CBS from the user’s point of view and not the implementer’s,

• Hmmm???
Fred Brooks’s observation

• In 1975, in MM-M, Fred Brooks equated the UM with the written RS:

  – “The manual must not only describe everything the user does see, including all interfaces; it must also refrain from describing what the user does not see. That is the implementer’s business, and there his design freedom must be unconstrained. The architect must always be prepared to show an implementation for any feature he describes, but he must not attempt to dictate the implementation.”
Demarco and McConnell

- Also, Tom DeMarco suggests in several places using UMs as RSs, most notably in *The Deadline*.

- In *Software Project Survival Guide*, Steve McConnell says:
  - “Prior to placing the prototype under change control, work can begin on a detailed user documentation (called the User Manual/Requirements Specification). This is the documentation that will eventually be delivered to the software’s end users. Typically, this documentation is developed at the end of the project, but in this book’s approach, it is developed near the beginning.”
Lisa & Macintosh

• It is said that the UMs for the Lisa and Macintosh computers were written completely before implementation of their software began.

• The UMs were given to systems programmers as the RS of the user interfaces (UIs) and of the underlying systems.

• [The original version of DOS on the Intel 8086 chip — called QDOS — is supposed to have been implemented from a user manual for the CP/M operating system. – MWG]
UMs and 5 roles of a RS

I claim that:

1. The process of writing a UM for a CBS is a good way to learn the CBS’s requirements.

2. The process of writing a UM for a CBS helps to reconcile differences among the CBS’s stakeholders.

3. A UM allows the customer of the CBS to validate that the projected CBS will be what he or she wants before resources are spent implementing a possibly incorrect CBS.
UMs and 5 roles of a RS

4. A UM makes it clear what must be implemented to obtain the required CBS.

5. A UM allows deriving both covering test cases and expected results that allow verification that the implementation of the CBS does what it is supposed to do.
Motivating writing of a RS
— “It’s difficult to write a good RS”

• Writing a good RS is difficult because the advice to describe “what, not how” is easier said than done.

• Clients and users tend to describe solutions to possibly non-existent problems rather than just problems that need to be solved.

• Writing a good UM forces focusing on the user’s view of the CBS.

• With typical user in mind as the future audience of the UM, it’s easier to focus on the user’s view, the \textit{what} of the CBS, and to avoid mentioning implementation details.
“It takes too long”
“It’s a waste of time”

• If a project produces a UM, help system or test cases, it writes a RS.
  – Any project for commercial or contracted software does so.
  – Thus there is time to write a RS; it’s already being done.

• But the UM, help system, test cases are written later.
  – Ah, but writing them earlier saves time and money for each requirement error found earlier when it costs an order of magnitude less to fix it.
Writing UM preferable to writing RS

• UM or help system needs to be written *eventually* for user’s benefit, but RS is not likely to be looked at beyond beginning of coding.

• Good UM exposes full set of use cases, from which test cases can be written, but most SRSs tend to focus on functional and NFRs and skip use cases.
Requirements for RS

• It is most important that a requirements document
  – be readable, and
  – accurately and completely describe the software system to be built, a system that meets the client’s desires and needs.

• All else is frosting on the cake.
Requirements for RS

• It must be readable because if not,
  – no one will be able to judge whether the document meets the second document requirement,
  – no one will be able to write the software to meet the system requirements,
  – no one will be able to judge whether the software meets the system requirements.
Good UM

• My favorite way to write a RS for a system that will have users is to write a UM or a collection of UM, one for each kind of user.

• Writing UM requires a clear conception of what the system is supposed to do, clear enough that the manual author can visualize user scenarios and describe both
  – what the user should say to the system and
  – what the system will respond to the user.
Good UMs

• So what does a good UM look like?
  – Well, it should be clear, no longer than necessary, and fun to read!
  – Actually, almost everyone knows a bad user manual when he or she tries to read one and cannot!
  – There is something of an art to writing a good UM.
Good and bad UMs

• I personally have found the following manuals good:
  – The PARADOX UM from Borland
  – The TEXbook by Knuth
  – The C Programming Language by Kernighan and Ritchie
  – The PIC UM by Kernighan
  – The EQN UM by Kernighan and Cherry

• I personally have found the following manuals bad
  – The C++ Programming Language by Stroustrup
  – The NROFF/TROFF UM by Ossana
  – The SCRIBE UM by Unilogic
  – The TBL UM by Lesk
Good UMls

A good UM seems to have the following elements:

1. Descriptions of underlying and fundamental concepts of the software, [i.e., a lexicon!]

2. A graduated set of examples each showing
   – a problem situation the user faces
   – some possible user responses to the problem in the form of commands to the software
   – the software’s response to these commands
   [i.e., use cases!]

3. A systematic summary of all the commands
   [i.e., a reference manual]
Good UMs

- Having only the command summary (i.e., a reference manual)
  - loses many readers who do not understand the concepts, and
  - turns off many readers who just plain get bored reading page after page after page of boring command syntax and semantics.

- Leaving out the lexicon makes it very hard for the author to use a consistent vocabulary in writing the rest of the manual.
Good UMs

• Leaving out the “use cases” leaves the reader without any sense of what is important and how to use the system to solve his or her problems.

• A well-written set of “use cases” makes reading the manual, even the command summary, fun.
Good UMs

• The command summary must be consulted in order to fully explain why the input of an example solved the problem the example claims it does.

• It is in writing the lexicon and “use cases” that diagrams are most useful
  – ... although I have seen command summaries that use a collection of related diagrams, one per command, to explain the system response to every command.
Good UMIs

• A good way to organize the lexicon is around the abstractions that you have found in the problem domain. [i.e., a Domain Model!]

• Each abstraction that survives the analysis should be explained in terms of
  – what the objects are
  – what they do
  – what is done to them
Good UMs

• Writing a good UM takes skill, and there is no substitute for that skill.
  – I hope that at least one person in each group has that skill.

• In an industrial situation, the client and the software house must hire good writers to write skillful conception and requirements documents.
English majors as documenters

• Bob Glass reports how successfully non-software-knowledgeable English majors were able to write high-quality descriptions of the grubby details of programs in documentation about these programs for maintainers.
Fairly’s UM model

• According to Richard Fairley in his 1985 *Software Engineering Concepts*, a preliminary UM should be produced at requirements definition time.
  – He proposes the following outline for the (preliminary) manual.

1. Introduction
   – Product overview and rationale
   – Terminology and basic features
   – Summary of display and report formats
   – Outline of the manual
2. Getting started
   - Sign-on
   - Help mode
   - Sample run

3. Modes of operation:
   - Commands/Dialogues/Reports

4. Advanced features

5. Command syntax and system options
Using a UM as an RS

• ... works only for those CBSs for which a UM describes all but trivially explained requirements.

• Thus,
  – The CBS must have at least one kind of user.
  – The CBS must provide all but trivially explained functionality through at least one UI, and all of this functionality must be clear from the behavior seen by a user.
  – Each of the CBS’s NFRs must be well understood and easily described in prose.
Using a UM as an RS

• If a CBS has several kinds of users, one UM manual can be written for each kind.
  – However, maintaining consistency of all UM s becomes a problem.
This won’t work well for ...

• Autonomous systems with no real human users
  – However, a description of the real world’s or other CBS’s behavior might suffice.

• A CBS for which one or more algorithms it computes is the major issue of a RS (and the UI is not an issue):
  e.g., a weather predictor

• A CBS with nontrivial NFRs that are not specifically visible to the user
  e.g., security, reliability, and robustness (SR&R), for which the user does nothing to cause the NFR to kick in.
  – The way SR&R is achieved is a major issue for the RS.
Users vs. developers

• It can be argued that a UM favors the user over the developer (designer and implementer).

• If a UM is use-case centred, it’s hard to identify functions that must be implemented.

• However, a good UM has also a feature-centred part listing all the individual features and describing all of the options of each.
  – This part is organized much as is a traditional SRS.
  – The designer and implementer can find the functions already identified.
“It don’t come easy”

• Writing the UM is hard, but so is writing a RS!
  – So you are no worse off!
All the gory details

• No requirements specification method that does not force working out the details is going to work.
  – It is only in working out the details that all the show-stopping exceptions and interactions are going to be discovered.

• These details can be worked out in any of several media:
  – the software itself,
  – a complete formal specification,
  – a complete, traditional SRS, or
  – a complete, scenario-based UM.
All the gory details

- The advantage of UM is that changing the manual consistently is much cheaper than changing either the software itself or a complete formal specification.

- Also, unlike a complete, traditional SRS, a UM is both needed and perceived as needed after the software is delivered.
  - Thus, the motivation to keep it up to date is higher than that to keep a traditional SRS up to date.
All the gory details

• The advantage of the software itself or a complete formal specification is that it is hard to handwave over the details, to cheat to leave the impression of completeness when details are missing.
  – If details have been left out, the software will not work or the formal specification cannot be verified to satisfy requirements.
All the gory details

• While it is fairly easy to leave details out of a UM, since the UM is intended to be delivered with the software to help naive users, the incentive is to get those details in.
  – Thus, it is an issue of finding a right medium for expressing detailed requirements that is both cheap to change, but hard to handwave one’s way to a false impression of completeness.
Summary

• A UM is an ideal RS in many cases because, if it is well-written:
  – it is written at the level of what the user sees,
  – it describes the basic concepts, and
  – it does not describe implementation details.

• That is, it is written at the right level of abstraction for a RS.

</Prof. Dan Berry speaking>
Some examples

• WD-pic Manual, by Lihua Ou

• iPhone User Guide, Apple Computers

• GNU Image Manipulation Program (GIMP) User Manual
  – http://docs.gimp.org/en/

[This and the remaining slides are due to Mike Godfrey, tho he didn’t actually create any of the content.]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 10: Camera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103 About Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104 Taking Photos and Recording Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 Viewing and Sharing Photos and Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106 Trimming Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 Uploading Photos and Videos to Your Computer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 11: YouTube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107 Finding and Viewing Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108 Controlling Video Playback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 Managing Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 Getting More Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 Using YouTube Account Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 Changing the Browse Buttons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 Sending Videos to YouTube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 12: Stocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112 Viewing Stock Quotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112 Getting More Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 13: Maps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114 Finding and Viewing Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119 Bookmarking Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119 Getting Directions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 Showing Traffic Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 Finding and Contacting Businesses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 14: Weather</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123 Viewing Weather Summaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124 Getting More Weather Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 15: Voice Memos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>125 Recording Voice Memos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 Listening to Voice Memos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127 Managing Voice Memos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 Trimming Voice Memos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 Sharing Voice Memos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129 Syncing Voice Memos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 16: Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130 Writing and Reading Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 Searching Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 Emailing Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 Syncing Notes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 17: Clock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132 World Clocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133 Alarms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133 Stopwatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133 Timer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 18: Calculator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>135 Using the Calculator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 Standard Memory Functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136 Scientific Calculator Keys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 19: Settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>138 Airplane Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139 Wi-Fi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 VPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 Notifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 Game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 Sounds and the Ring/Silent Switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142 Brightness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142 Wallpaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142 General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Mail, Contacts, Calendars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153 Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 Safari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157 Messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158 iPod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 Photos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 Store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 Nike + iPod</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 20: iTunes Store</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160 About the iTunes Store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161 Finding Music, Videos, and More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162 Purchasing Ringtones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162 Purchasing Music or Audiobooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163 Purchasing or Renting Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 Streaming or Downloading Podcasts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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[LOTS of stuff deleted]
III. Function Reference

12. Toolbox

1. The Toolbox
   1.1. Tool Options
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   2.2. Rectangle Selection
   2.3. Ellipse Selection
   2.4. Free Selection (Lasso)
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3. Brush Tools
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[LOTS of stuff deleted]
[No stuff deleted, as it turns out]
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